

Notice of Meeting

Notice is hereby given that an out-of-session meeting of the Local Government Transport Advisory Panel (LGTAP) will be held on

Wednesday 29 June 2022 at 9:30am

Boardrooms at Local Government House

148 Frome Street, Adelaide and via videoconference

For further information about the Local Government Transport Advisory Panel (LGTAP), <u>click</u> <u>here</u>.



Local Government Transport Advisory Panel

LGTAP Members

Mayor Keith Parkes Chairperson/LGA Board Director

Mr Richard Dodson Regional Council Representative

Mr Peter Tsokas Greater Adelaide Council Representative

Mr Lea Bacon LGA Representative

Mr Peter Ilee Minister for Local Government

Representative

Mr Andrew Excell Minister for Infrastructure and Transport

Representative

LGTAP Proxy Members

Mayor Erika Vickery Proxy for LGA Board Director

Mr Michael Arman Proxy for LGA Representative

Mr Alex Sgro Proxy for Minister for Local Government

Representative

Mr Mike Wilde Proxy for Minister for Infrastructure and

Transport Representative



Agenda

| Item | | Page |
|------------|---|------------|
| 1. | Welcome, Present & Apologies | |
| | 1.1 Acknowledgement of Country | |
| | 1.2 Present | |
| | 1.3 Apologies | |
| 2. | Standing Items | |
| | 2.1 Minutes of Previous Meetings | 4 |
| 3. | Confidential Reports | |
| | 3.1 2022-23 SLRP Grant Round | 18 |
| | 9.45 – 10.15am: Yorke Peninsula Council & Legatus Group | |
| | 10.15 – 10.30am : City of Adelaide | |
| | 10.30 – 10.45am: District Council of Lower Eyre Peninsula | |
| | 10.45 – 11.00am: Kingston District Council | |
| 4. | Any Other Business | |
| | 4.1 Nil | |
| 5 . | Next Meeting | |
| | The next meeting of the Local Government Transport Advisory Panel will a date and venue to be determined. | be held at |
| 6. | Close | |

2.1 Minutes of Previous Meetings

Standing Items

From: Alyssa Bates, Grants Coordinator

Meeting: LG Transport Advisory Panel 29 June 2022

ECM: 778352 Attachments: 778354 & 778357

Recommendation

That the LG Transport Advisory Panel confirms the minutes of the meeting held on 14 June 2022 as a true and accurate record of the proceedings held.



Draft Confidential Minutes



Local Government Transport Advisory Panel

Tuesday 14 June 2022 at 9:30am

Local Government House 148 Frome Street and via teleconference

1. Welcome

1.1 Acknowledgement of Country

The Chairperson opened the meeting at 9:30am and welcomed members and LGA staff to the meeting.

1.2 Present

Mayor Keith Parkes Chairperson/LGA Board Director

Mr Richard Dodson Regional Council Representative

Mr Peter Tsokas Greater Adelaide Council Representative

Mr Lea Bacon LGA Representative

Mr Peter Ilee Minister for Local Government Representative

Mr Mike Wilde Proxy for the Minister for Transport Representative

Non-voting observers

Michael Arman LGA Director Strategy (via teleconference)

Alyssa Bates SLRP Coordinator

1.3 Apologies

Mr Andrew Excell Minister for Transport Representative

2. Standing Items

2.1 Minutes of Previous Meeting

LGTAP confirmed the minutes of the meeting held on 13 April 2022 and the special meeting held on 23 May 2022 as a true and accurate record of the proceedings held.

2.2 Resolutions and Actions from Previous Meetings

LGTAP noted the resolutions and actions from the previous meetings including requests for extensions received for seven projects from the 2021-22 funding round.

3. Reports for Discussion

Nil





4. Confidential Reports

4.1 2022-23 SLRP Grant Round

LGTAP considered thirty-six applications totalling \$21,122,000 for the 2022-23 SLRP grant funding round. Available funding is \$16,214,000.

It was noted that the SLRP Coordinator has undertaken an initial assessment of each application against the criteria as set out in the recently updated Special Local Roads Program Policy Manual. The Regional LGAs and Metropolitan Strategic Roads Committee (MSRC) were also contacted and invited to provide comment on each application submitted within their region.

The Panel noted that MSRC did not achieve a quorum and were therefore unable to provide comments on the applications submitted from within their region.

LGTAP reviewed each application, taking into account the initial assessment results and the comments provided by the Regional LGA's, and provided draft recommendations for twenty-six applications.

The Panel agreed that further clarification will be sought for the ten remaining applications and the Panel will reconvene to formalise the recommendations. Questions will be sent to councils where further information is required.

A record of the LGTAP discussion and recommendations on each application is provided at Attachment A.

Conflicts

During the LGTAP meeting it was noted that:

- Mr Richard Dodson declared an interest as Deputy CEO of Light Regional Council, in relation to the applications submitted by the Light Regional Council. Mr Dodson did not participate in discussion relating to these applications.
- Mayor Keith Parkes declared a perceived conflict of interest as the President of the Southern Hills LGA and Mayor of Alexandrina Council, in relation to the applications submitted by the Southern and Hills LGA. Mayor Parkes did not participate in discussion relating to these applications.

Follow-ups

LGTAP identified follow-up questions for the following applications:

- Yorke Peninsula Council North Coast Road, Point Turton
- District Council of Lower Eyre Peninsula Bratten Way, Hundred of Cummins, Mitchell and Kiana
- District Council Loxton Waikerie Habel Road
- Kingston district Council Cape Jaffa Road, Cape Jaffa
- Naracoorte Lucindale Council Boddingtons West Road, Cadgee
- Alexandrina Council Nangkita Road Surface Improvement
- City of Victor Harbor Three Gullies Road, Encounter Bay
- City of Playford Carmelo Road Upgrade, Buckland Park
- City of Playford Kinkaid Road, Aylwin Street to Highfield Drive, Elizabeth East
- City of Adelaide Jeffcott Street South



The specific follow up questions are noted in Attachment A.



5. Any Other Business

5.1 Correspondence from the SA Local Government Grants Commission

Peter Ille provided the following updates to LGTAP:

- The Grants Commission wrote to the Local Government Association expressing the Commission's desire to provide feedback to the LGTAP on the updated Terms of Reference and SLRP Policy Manual.
- The Grants Commission requested to be consulted if the LGTAP Terms of Reference are reviewed due to the Grants Commission's responsibility for making the recommendation to the Minister for all projects supported by the LGTAP for grant funding under the SLRP.

6. Next Meeting

The next meeting of the Local Government Transport Advisory Panel will be held on Wednesday 29 June 2022 at Local Government House, 148 Frome Street, Adelaide.

7. Close

The Chairperson declared the meeting closed at 12:15pm and thanked committee members and LGA staff for their attendance.

Attachments

a) Summary of LGTAP discussion and decision - 2022-23 SLRP Grant Round

| Minutes confirmed | |
|-----------------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Chairperson signature | |
| | |
| Date | |



| | | | | | | | | I de calificad | LCTAR | Funding | | |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| | | | | SLRP | | Total | | Identified conflict / | LGTAP Recommend | pending further | Funding | |
| App. ID | Council | Project Title | Brief Project Description | Funding Requested | Council contribution | Project Cost | LGTAP Discussion - 14 June 2022 | competing interest | ation - 14 June 2022 | clarification 14 June 2022 | recommended 14 June 2022 | Actions required post meeting of 14 June 2022 |
| | • | gatus) LGA | | • | | • | | 1 | | 1 | | |
| | |] | | | | | The Panel agreed that the | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | project represents good | | | | | |
| | | | Upgrade of Moculta Road, including | | | | value for money and has | | | | | |
| | | | widening as required between 500 metres west of Perry Road, Penrice, | | | | good council commitment. The road fits well as a | | | | | |
| | The | Moculta | and Truro Road, Moculta, and upgrade | | | | strategic link road between | | | | | |
| SLRP | Barossa | Road, | of the culvert between Gruenberg | ć1 200 000 | ¢1 300 000 | ¢2.400.000 | two localities. The Panel | | Cummontod | | ¢1 200 000 | |
| 2022.04 | Council | Moculta | Road and Bastion Hill Road. | \$1,200,000 | \$1,200,000 | \$2,400,000 | supports the application. The Panel agreed that the | | Supported | | \$1,200,000 | |
| | | | | | | | project represents good | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | value for money. The | | | | | ! |
| | Regional | | | | | | project meets the criteria of the funding program. The | | | | | |
| SLRP | Council of | Hills Rd, | Upgrade and Sealing of Hills Road to | | | | Panel supports the | | | | | |
| 2022.05 | Goyder | Booborowie | the Princess Royal Feedlot | \$200,000 | \$100,000 | \$300,000 | application. | | Supported | | \$200,000 | |
| | | | This project will upgrade Gray Street between Hanson St and end of the | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | kerb on Gray Street, which would | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | deliver much-needed improvements on road safety, accessibility and | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | connectivity for the local community | | | | The Panel agreed that the | | | | | |
| | | | and businesses. | | | | project scored highly. The | | | | | |
| | | | A safer road environment is proposed | | | | project identifies significant freight and community | | | | | |
| | | | which includes widening of the | | | | access. The Panel | | | | | |
| | | | pavement to include cycling lanes, on- | | | | acknowledged the strategic | | | | | |
| | | | street parking, improved lighting, and the new kerb and gutter to protect the | | | | nature and value for money of the project. The Panel did | | | | | |
| | Light | | pavement from premature failure and | | | | not identify any issues with | | | | | |
| SLRP 2022.10 | Regional Council | Gray Street, Freeling | will also provide a minimum service level against flooding over the road. | \$704,000 | \$207,000 | \$1.101.000 | the project. The Panel supports the application. | Mr Dodson | Supported | | \$704,000 | |
| 2022.10 | Council | Wilkinson | lever against nooding over the road. | \$794,000 | \$397,000 | \$1,191,000 | supports the application. | IVII DOUSOII | Supporteu | | \$794,000 | |
| | | Road & | | | | | | | | | | ! |
| | | Gawler River Road | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Road | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | This project will upgrade sections of | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Gawler River Road and Wilkinson Road | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | between Two Wells Road and Heaslip Road including the upgrade of side | | | | The Panel agreed that the project scored highly. The | | | | | |
| | | | road intersections within this section. | | | | project identifies significant | | | | | |
| | | | Proposed upgrade will provide a good | | | | freight and community | | | | | |
| | Light | | level of service sufficient to accommodate its designated freight | | | | access. The Panel did not identify any issues with the | | | | | |
| SLRP | Regional | | and commuting traffic through the | | | | project. The Panel supports | | | | | |
| 2022.24 | Council | | region. | \$721,000 | \$361,000 | \$1,082,000 | the application. | Mr Dodson | Supported | | \$721,000 | |



| | Yorke | North Coast | Council proposes to improve drainage, strengthen pavement and seal the first 4km of the unsealed section of the North Coast Road over a two year period commencing in 2023 and concluding in 2024. The project will commence at the end of the existing seal at Point Turton and conclude near the end of the 50km/hour speed zone. This project will mitigate the majority of road safety issues; high road maintenance costs; dust related environmental and health impacts; stormwater and drainage issues at low points; and be a step towards | | | | The Panel acknowledged that further information from the Council and the Regional LGA is required before a decision can be made. The Panel agreed that the application was unclear whether the project is 'shovel ready' and raised concerns regarding the Council's contribution for this Stage of the project as it is quite low compared to the funding requested. The Panel noted a disconnect between the regional prioritisation and the LGA initial assessment score and questioned why this project | Pending | | information / clarification: - further detail or evidence to confirm the regional tourism significance of the road, - whether the council is able to further evidence the community and tourism purpose of the road to confirm its significant and strategic nature, - whether the council can outline and provide reasoning for any change in scope and works, - in addition to road sealing, whether elements to improve drainage and strengthen pavement have been added to the 2022 proposed scope of works, - confirmation of the exact project works to be undertaken in Stage 1 and whether funding requested is to complete design work for a larger project or whether on- ground works will be undertaken, - confirm council's available funds for the project and provide comment on the lower proportion of funds to be attributed to this stage and what the anticipated costs/contribution will be for Stage 2, and - how realistic and accurate are the cost estimates. LGTAP to contact Legatus Group to further explain / clarify the Legatus Group referral comments regarding the application, in particular, the criteria / assessment that was used to inform the recommendation of |
|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---|-------------|-----------|-------------------|---|---------------------|-------------|---|
| SLRP 2022.38 | Peninsula Council | Road, Point | improving tourism appeal and wider | ¢1 141 000 | \$293,000 | \$3,764,000 | is listed as a high priority for | further information | \$1,141,000 | its Road Transport and Infrastructure Advisory Committee. |
| Eyre Penins | | Turton | community access. | \$1,141,000 | 7233,000 | <i>93,704,000</i> | the Region. | | Y1,141,000 | minustructure Auvisory Committee. |
| Lyic Feiliis | | | The wariost opens in third a contribute. | | <u> </u> | | | <u> </u> | T | |
| | District Council of | Cowell Kimba and Lucky | The project scope includes stabilizing and sealing shoulders to attain an 8m seal width and resealing existing surface to safely accommodate increasing heavy vehicle movements and larger combinations of Restricted Access Vehicles associated with grain cartage to T-Ports export terminal at | | | | The Panel agreed that the project meets the criteria and aligns with the objectives of the funding program. No further discussion / issues were | | | |
| SLRP 2022.17 | Franklin Harbour | Bay Roads | Lucky Bay. 2km of failing pavement will be overlaid. | \$1,063,000 | \$523,000 | \$1,586,000 | identified. The Panel supports the application. | Supported | \$1,063,000 | |
| 2022.1/ | narbour | upgrade | be overlaid. | \$1,063,000 | \$523,000 | \$1,586,000 | supports the application. | Supported | \$1,063,000 | |



| SLRP 2022.34 | District Council of Lower Eyre Peninsula | Bratten Way, Hundred of Cummins, Mitchell and Kiana Ballumbah/Ki nnaird Road, Darke Peak to Rudall SA | Upgrade of Bratten Way to Meet GML Standards Upgrades along Ballumbah/Kinnaird Road which will include profiling edge of seal and stabalising widening of the shoulders. This will greatly improve the | \$1,000,000 | \$500,000 | \$18,000,000 | The Panel agreed that the project meets the criteria and aligns with the objectives of the funding program. No further | Pending further information | \$1,000,000 | | LGTAP to contact the District Council of Lower Eyre Peninsula, to seek confirmation and evidence that stages 3, 4 and 5 can be concurrently delivered. |
|-----------------|--|--|---|-------------|-----------|--------------|---|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------|--|
| CL DD | District | 5642 | shoulders. This will greatly improve the | | | | discussion / issues were | | | | |
| SLRP 2022.37 | Council of Cleve | (Shoulder Upgrade) | safety along this now major route for heavy vehicles. | \$400,000 | \$200,000 | \$600,000 | identified. The Panel supports the application. | Supported | | \$400,000 | |
| | ds and River | | | \$400,000 | \$200,000 | \$600,000 | supports the application. | Supported | | Ş400,000 | |
| SIRP | Berri Barmara | Mckay Road | Mckay Road, Glossop is the subject of this upgrade proposal and has been identified as a regionally significant freight route in the Murray and Mallee 2030 Regional Transport Plan and is also assigned as a B-Double route. Mckay Road Stage II has planned to separately address the tight curves along a 1km distance. Reconstruction and widening of the bends will be | | | | The Panel agreed that the project meets the criteria and aligns with the objectives of the funding program. No further discussion / issues were identified. The Panel | | | | |

| | | , | | | | 1 | | | 1 | |
|---------|----------|--------------|--|-------------|-----------|-------------|------------------------------|-----------|-------------|--|
| | | | Mckay Road, Glossop is the subject of | | | | | | | |
| | | | this upgrade proposal and has been | | | | | | | |
| | | | identified as a regionally significant | | | | | | | |
| | | | freight route in the Murray and Mallee | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2030 Regional Transport Plan and is | | | | The Panel agreed that the | | | |
| | | | also assigned as a B-Double route. | | | | project meets the criteria | | | |
| | | | Mckay Road Stage II has planned to | | | | and aligns with the | | | |
| | | | separately address the tight curves | | | | objectives of the funding | | | |
| | | | along a 1km distance. Reconstruction | | | | program. No further | | | |
| | Berri | | and widening of the bends will be | | | | discussion / issues were | | | |
| SLRP | Barmera | Mckay Road | undertaken in order to achieve the | | | | identified. The Panel | | | |
| 2022.02 | Council | Stage II | minimum width standards. | \$200,000 | \$100,000 | \$300,000 | supports the application. | Supported | \$200,000 | |
| | | | | | | | The Panel noted that the | | | |
| | | | | | | | road is an important linking | | | |
| | | | | | | | road. The Panel agreed that | | | |
| | | | | | | | the project meets the | | | |
| | | | | | | | criteria and aligns with the | | | |
| | | | Reconstruction of existing sealed road | | | | objectives of the funding | | | |
| | | | which includes importation of base | | | | program. No further | | | |
| | Coorong | | course materials, stabilisation of new | | | | discussion / issues were | | | |
| SLRP | District | Poltalloch | and old base course materials and | | | | identified. The Panel | | | |
| 2022.06 | Council | Road | reseal of the road. | \$1,028,000 | \$622,055 | \$1,650,055 | supports the application. | Supported | \$1,028,000 | |
| | | | The proposed works to Kulkami Road | | | | The Panel agreed that the | | | |
| | | | includes widening and reconstructing | | | | project meets the criteria | | | |
| | | | 32km of road shoulder and will consist | | | | and aligns with the | | | |
| | Southern | Kulkami Road | of new pavement incorporating | | | | objectives of the funding | | | |
| | Mallee | - Shoulder | crushed rock base course and a 2-coat | | | | program. No further | | | |
| SLRP | District | Widening - | bitumen spray seal to allow a road | | | | discussion / issues were | | | |
| 2022.07 | Council | Year 2 of 2 | width of 7.2meters with 1 meter | \$666,000 | \$333,000 | \$1,000,000 | identified. The Panel | Supported | \$666,000 | |

LGA of SA ECM 778357 Agenda Item 2.1 – Minutes of Previous Meeting – Attachment 1



| 1 | | | unsealed shoulder. | | | | supports the application. | | | | |
|-------|---------------------|--------------|--|-----------|--------------------|-------------|---|-------------|-----------|-----------|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Stage 2 -10km of shoulder widening | | | | | | | | |
| | | | works will compliment stage 1 which | | | | | | | | |
| | | | was completed in April 2022. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Billiatt Road is the gateway to the | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Billiat Conservation Park and links the | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Southern Mallee with The Riverland. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Whilst being the main tourism route between the two districts, Billiat Road | | | | | | | | |
| | | | is not wide enough to accommodate | | | | | | | | |
| | | | the increased traffic and usage of the | | | | | | | | |
| | | | increased traffic of road trains and b- | | | | The Panel noted that the | | | | |
| | | | triples. Road edge breaks and stripping | | | | project represents good | | | | |
| | | | problems. Road requires shoulder | | | | value for money. The Panel | | | | |
| | | | reconstruction and widening with a | | | | agreed that upon | | | | |
| | | | reseal to accommodate GML Road | | | | completion it is expected | | | | |
| | | | Train and Oversized traffic, from 6.2m | | | | that the road is Gazetted to | | | | |
| | District Council | | seal to 7.6m seal. This will also | | | | allow freight to use the | | | | |
| SLRP | Loxton | | compliment Southern Mallee Councils proposal for upgrades to the same | | | | road, rather than a permit system. The Panel supports | | | | |
| 2022. | | Billiat Road | road in their district in 2023/2024. | \$540,000 | \$270,000 | \$810,000 | the application. | Supported | | \$540,000 | |
| | | | Habel Road from the intersection of | += :=,=== | + = . 0,000 | +/ | | Сирренен | | 70 10,000 | |
| | | | Karoonda Highway and Habel Road to | | | | | | | | |
| | | | the intersection of Browns Well | | | | The Panel noted that the | | | | |
| | | | Highway and Habel Road. It is also | | | | project is a good project to | | | | |
| | | | direct access to the Karoonda Highway | | | | provide a bypass to the | | | | |
| | | | Grain Bunkers. A seal to accommodate | | | | town of Loxton. The Panel | | | | |
| | | | GML and Oversized traffic. A reseal of the entire length will ensure longevity | | | | questioned the estimated costs and noted concerns | | | | |
| | | | and structural strength of the road. | | | | that the Council may not be | | | | |
| | | | This project will be in conjunction with | | | | able to deliver the project | | | | |
| | | | federal grant funding of intersection | | | | for the funding requested. | | | | LGTAP to contact the District |
| | District | | safety upgrades works to be carried | | | | The Panel requested further | | | | Council Loxton Waikerie, to seek |
| | Council | | out on each end of Habel Road as part | | | | information be provided | Pending | | | confirmation that the project is |
| SLRP | Loxton | | of the Heavy Vehicle Safety and | | 4 | 4 | before a decision can be | further | | | able to be delivered within the |
| 2022. | 13 Waikerie | Habel Road | Productivity Program. | \$300,000 | \$150,000 | \$450,000 | | information | \$300,000 | | stated project cost. |
| | | | | | | | The Panel noted that the Council contribution could | | | | |
| | | | | | | | be reduced if the project | | | | |
| | | | | | | | were also funded through | | | | |
| | | | | | | | the Heavy Vehicle Project | | | | |
| | | | | | | | and discussed the LGA | | | | |
| | | | | | | | contacting Council to | | | | |
| | | | | | | | provide guidance / advice | | | | |
| | | | The Careuma road Prainct will was a | | | | regarding this. The Panel | | | | The Danel noted that the Council |
| | | | The Carcuma road Project will upgrade the section of road between the Dukes | | | | agreed that the project meets the criteria and aligns | | | | The Panel noted that the Council contribution could be reduced if |
| | | | Highway and the TFI Feedlot. The | | | | with the objectives of the | | | | the project were also funded |
| | | | project will widen the section of | | | | funding program. No | | | | through the Heavy Vehicle Project |
| | Coorong | | existing sealed road and provide new | | | | further discussion / issues | | | | and discussed the LGA contacting |
| SLRP | District | Carcuma | sealed pavement to the existing | | | | were identified. The Panel | | | | Council to provide guidance / |
| 2022. | 31 Council | Road | unsealed section of road. | \$766,500 | \$383,500 | \$1,150,000 | supports the application. | Supported | | \$766,500 | advice regarding this. |

South-East / Limestone Coast LGA



| | | | | | | | LGTAP noted that this | | | |
|---------|----------|-------------|---|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | application is for Stage 2, | | | |
| | | | | | | | with funding previously | | | |
| | | | | | | | having been provided for | | | |
| | | | | | | | Stage 1 in the 2021-22 | | | |
| | | | | | | | financial year. LGTAP noted | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | The Court offer Board and the III | | | | that the 2021-22 | | | |
| | | | The Cape Jaffa Road project will | | | | component of the project | | | |
| | | | include widening sections of some | | | | (Stage 1) has not | | | |
| | | | 13.7km of the Cape Jaffa Road, with a | | | | commenced and that an | | | |
| | | | key focus on breakaway and problem | | | | extension request has been | | | |
| | | | areas at intersections and through | | | | submitted to extend this | | | |
| | | | corners due to severe edge break, | | | | Stage to 30 June 2023. | | | |
| | | | edge drop and cracking within the | | | | LGTAP raised concerns | | | |
| | | | existing pavement which is evident in a | | | | about Council's ability to | | | |
| | | | number of locations. The main | | | | deliver both the 2021-22 | | | |
| | | | objective of this project will be to | | | | and 2022-23 Stages of this | | | |
| | | | improve safety for all road users, as | | | | project concurrently and | | | |
| | | | | | | | considered recommending | | | LCTAD to contact the Kingston |
| | | | this road is a critical community access | | | | | | | LGTAP to contact the Kingston |
| | | | route and has key tourism and freight | | | | that this application should | | | District Council, to seek further |
| | Kingston | Cape Jaffa | purposes within our district which will | | | | be considered with the | Pending | | clarity regarding councils ability to |
| SLRP | District | Road, Cape | only continue to increase in the area | | | | 2023 funding round (if re- | further | | deliver Stage 1 and Stage 2 |
| 2022.03 | Council | Jaffa | into the future. | \$450,000 | \$450,000 | \$1,200,000 | submitted) | information | \$450,000 | concurrently. |
| | | | Cafpirco Road is located within the | | | | | | | |
| | | | District Council of Grant running | | | | | | | |
| | | | between Burrungule Road and the | | | | | | | |
| | | | Princes Highway. It is a recognised 23 | | | | | | | |
| | | | and 26 M GML B-Double regional | | | | | | | |
| | | | freight route. This Stage 3 portion of | | | | | | | |
| | | | = - | | | | | | | |
| | | | the project will upgrade a 1.5km | | | | | | | |
| | | | section of Cafprico Road, from | | | | | | | |
| | | | Mulwala Road to Tollner Road. It will | | | | | | | |
| | | | complete a 4.7km total section of | | | | The Panel agreed that the | | | |
| | | | Cafpirco Road, the main thoroughfare | | | | project meets the criteria | | | |
| | | | for heavy vehicles accessing local | | | | and aligns with the | | | |
| | | | industry sites for quarry operations, | | | | objectives of the funding | | | |
| | DISTRICT | | timber milling and forestry and | | | | program. No further | | | |
| | COUNCIL | | livestock production. The completion | | | | discussion / issues were | | | |
| SLRP | OF | CAFPIRCO | of this upgrade will increase heavy | | | | identified. The Panel | | | |
| 2022.08 | | ROAD | vehicle safety. | \$140,000 | \$140,000 | \$280,000 | supports the application. | Supported | \$140,000 | |
| 2022.00 | GIVAIVI | NOAD | The work proposed involves widening | 7140,000 | 7140,000 | 7200,000 | supports the application. | Зарропса | 7140,000 | |
| | | | , , | | | | | | | |
| | | | and sealing the shoulders on a 6.8km | | | | | | | |
| | | | section of Cannawigara Road from | | | | | | | |
| | | | Meatworks Road to Siding Road. | | | | | | | |
| | | | Cannawigara Road is classified as | | | | | | | |
| | | | Regionally Significant Community | | | | The Panel agreed that the | | | |
| | | | Access Road and Freight Route and the | | | | project meets the criteria | | | |
| | | | current seal width is inadequate | | | | and aligns with the | | | |
| | | Cannawigara | resulting in edge defects and | | | | objectives of the funding | | | |
| | | Road | dangerous edge drops/breaks. The | | | | program. No further | | | |
| | Tatiara | Shoulder | works involve reconstructing and | | | | discussion / issues were | | | |
| SLRP | District | Widening, | sealing the shoulders to meet fit for | | | | identified. The Panel | | | |
| | | _ · | = | \$240,000 | \$340,000 | ¢690 000 | | Cupported | \$240,000 | |
| 2022.12 | Council | Bordertown | purpose standards. | \$340,000 | \$340,000 | \$680,000 | supports the application. | Supported | \$340,000 | |
| | | | | | | | The Panel agreed that the | | | |
| | | | The work proposed involves widening | | | | project meets the criteria | | | |
| | | | and sealing the shoulders on a 550m | | | | and aligns with the | | | |
| | | | segment of Bunker Road. Bunker Road | | | | objectives of the funding | | | |
| | | | is classified as a Regionally Significant | | | | program. No further | | | |
| | | Bunker Road | Freight route and the current seal | | | | discussion / issues were | | | |
| SLRP | Aaron | Widening | width is inadequate for the current and | | | | identified. The Panel | | | |
| 2022.14 | | Keith | future heavy vehicle traffic volumes. | \$55,000 | \$55,000 | \$110,000 | supports the application. | Supported | \$55,000 | |
| | | 1 | , | , , | / | ,3 | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 7-2,500 | |



| | | | | | | | The Panel raised a concern | | | |
|---------|-----------|-------------|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | | | | | | | regarding the high project | | | |
| | | | | | | | cost for the low vehicle | | | |
| | | | The work proposed includes widening | | | | usage of the road. The | | | LGTAP to contact the CEO, |
| | | | and reconstructing the road with a | | | | Panel questioned the | | | Naracoorte Council, to: |
| | | | pavement that is suitable for long term | | | | Council's future plans for | | | - seek a better explanation for the |
| | | | (future projections with growth) heavy | | | | the road and requested | | | purpose of the road with particular |
| | | | vehicle loading of adequate width and | | | | further information be | | | attention to what makes the road |
| | | | shoulders/clear zones. Riddoch | | | | provided before a decision | | | strategic and 'special' to the region, |
| | Naracoor | | Highway intersection improvements | | | | can be made. The Panel also | | | and |
| | te | Boddingtons | will reduce congestion on the highway | | | | questioned the Regional | Pending | | - seek an outline for the council's |
| SLRP | Lucindale | West Road, | and improve safe access to and from | | | | LGA's prioritisation of the | further | | future plan for Boddingtons West |
| 2022.15 | Council | Cadgee | the Riddoch Highway. | \$1,000,000 | \$1,000,000 | \$2,000,000 | road. | information | \$1,000,000 | Road, in line with the criteria. |
| | | | The work proposed includes Pavement | | | | | | | |
| | | | Stripping of top seal and lay 150mm | | | | | | | |
| | | | crushed rock after condensing the | | | | | | | |
| | | | existing rubble base layer. Scrapped | | | | | | | |
| | | | seal will be re-used before putting the | | | | | | | |
| | | | crushed rock base. Road surface to be | | | | The Panel agreed that the | | | |
| | | | 2 coat seal with 7/15 aggregate. A | | | | project meets the criteria | | | |
| | | | concrete median strip is to be installed | | | | and aligns with the | | | |
| | | | between traffic lane and shared user | | | | objectives of the funding | | | |
| | | Pine Hall | path to improve safety for pedestrians | | | | program. No further | | | |
| | City of | Avenue, | and cyclists. These works are a 1.1km | | | | discussion / issues were | | | |
| SLRP | Mount | Mount | section of Pine Hall Avenue and do not | | | | identified. The Panel | | | |
| 2022.18 | Gambier | Gambier | include the school carpark. | \$212,000 | \$106,000 | \$424,000 | supports the application. | Supported | \$212,000 | |

Southern and Hills LGA

| | | | The road requires full pavement | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|--------------|---|-------------|-----------|-------------|--|---------|-------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | | reconstruction due to its narrow width, | | | | | | | | |
| | | | mixed pavement materials, and poor | | | | | | | | |
| | | | road and shoulder drainage. The road | | | | | | | | |
| | | | has become a critical freight route vital | | | | | | | | |
| | | | to the area, with over 50,000 ton of | | | | | | | | |
| | | | crushed quarry product alone hauled | | | | | | | | |
| | | | along it. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | The Panel agreed that the | | | | |
| | | | The overall carriageway width will be | | | | project meets the criteria | | | | |
| | | | increased from 6m unsealed to a 7.2m | | | | and aligns with the | | | | |
| | | | seal width, plus design shoulders. The | | | | objectives of the funding | | | | |
| | | | pavement will be thicker from the | | | | program. No further | | | | |
| | Kangaroo | | current 200mm to a design pavement | | | | discussion / issues were | | | | |
| SLRP | Island | Ten Trees | suitable and fit for purpose matching | 4 | 4 | 4 | identified. The Panel | Mayor | | 4, 0, 0, 0, 0 | |
| 2022.09 | Council | Lagoon Road | the projected freight demand. | \$1,340,000 | \$670,000 | \$2,000,000 | supports the application. | Parkes | Supported | \$1,340,000 | |
| | | | | | | | LGTAP noted that budget | | | | |
| | | | | | | | costings had not been | | | | |
| | | | | | | | attached to the application | | | | |
| | | | | | | | and that there was no | | | | |
| | | | | | | | written commentary | | | | |
| | | | | | | | regarding project costs for | | | | |
| | | | This project involves uponeding the | | | | the proposed works. LGTAP | | | | |
| | | | This project involves upgrading the pavement and road surface along | | | | agreed to request the Council provide a | | | | |
| | | | Nangkita Road to make the road fit for | | | | breakdown on cost | | | | |
| | | | purpose for freight and community | | | | estimates and further | | | | LGTAP to contact the Alexandrina |
| | | | access vehicles. Nangkita Road is a | | | | supporting commentary to | | | | Council, to seek clarification that |
| | Alexandri | Nangkita | Regionally Significant Freight Route | | | | give LGTAP comfort that the | | Pending | | the project is able to be delivered |
| SLRP | na | Road Surface | and Regionally Significant Community | | | | proposed project will | Mayor | further | | within the stated project cost and |
| 2022.19 | Council | Improvement | Access Route. | \$600,000 | \$300,000 | \$900,000 | deliver value for money | Parkes | information | \$600,000 | deliver value for money. |
| | Council | provement | / locoss floute. | 7000,000 | 7500,000 | 7300,000 | deliver value for money | . arkes | ioimation | 7000,000 | deliver value for money. |

ECM 778357 Agenda Item 2.1 – Minutes of Previous Meeting – Attachment 1



| | | | | | | | from SLRP. | | | |
|---------|---------|-------------------|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | The Panel questioned | | | |
| | | | | | | | whether the weeding and | | | |
| | | | | | | | vegetation removal | | | |
| | | | | | | | component of the project is | | | |
| | | | The works cover the resurfacing of a | | | | within the scope of the | | | |
| | | | section of Three Gullies Road, | | | | funding, agreeing that it is | | | |
| | | | Encounter Bay (City of Victor Harbor), | | | | not if it is routine | | | |
| | | | starting from the intersection with | | | | maintenance. The Panel | | | |
| | | | Battye Road and heads south 490m | | | | discussed that clarity | | | |
| | | | down the gully and back up to the | | | | regarding the project | | | |
| | | | crest in line with Mayflower Court. The | | | | elements that are being | | | LGTAP to contact the City of Victor |
| | | | road requires resurfacing with some | | | | funded by Council and the | | | Harbor, to discuss the concerns |
| | | | deep lift patches due to aged and | | | | elements that are being | | | raised by the Panel members and |
| | | | failed pavement. The road is a collector | | | | funded by SLRP funds is | | | seek further information / clarity |
| | | | road and a critical tourism route vital | | | | required. The Panel | | | regarding exactly what project |
| | | Thurs a Coull's a | to the region. The road surface suffers | | | | generally supports the | | | elements will be funded by Council |
| | City of | Three Gullies | from extensive cracking, deformation | | | | application but has | Donding | | and what SLRP funds will be used |
| CLDD | City of | Road, | and potholes. Areas have degraded too | | | | requested further | Pending | | for. This will determine whether |
| SLRP | Victor | Encounter | far for resurfacing and will require | ¢200.000 | ¢100.000 | ¢200.000 | information before a | further | ¢200.000 | the Panel will recommend a |
| 2022.25 | Harbor | Bay | deep lift patches. | \$200,000 | \$100,000 | \$300,000 | decision can be made. | information | \$200,000 | reduced fund or not. |

Greater Adelaide Organisation of Councils (GAROC)

| | • | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|-------------|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------------|-----------|-------|---------|
| | | | Reconstruction of Regency Rd, Happy | | | | | | | |
| | | | Valley between Glenloth Drive and | | | | The Panel agreed that the | | | |
| | | | Byards Rd. | | | | project meets the criteria | | | |
| | | | | | | | and aligns with the | | | |
| | | | For vehicle users these works will | | | | objectives of the funding | | | |
| | | | incorporate traffic calming, | | | | program. The Panel noted | | | |
| | | | improvements to parking | | | | that the road is a collector | | | |
| | | | arrangements and bus stop | | | | road and contains bus | | | |
| | | | improvements. | | | | routes. The Panel noted | | | |
| | | | | | | | that community | | | |
| | | | For active transport users this project | | | | consultation has completed | | | |
| | City of | Regency | will ensure all bus stops and side | | | | and is shovel ready. The | | | |
| SLRP | Onkapari | Road, Happy | streets are connected to the footpath | | | | Panel supports the | | | |
| 2022.01 | nga | Valley | trail networks. | \$1,000,000 | \$1,670,000 | \$2,670,000 | application. | Supported | \$1,0 | 000,000 |
| | | | | | | | The Panel noted that | | | |
| | | | | | | | community consultation has | | | |
| | | | | | | | not been undertaken and | | | |
| | | | | | | | raised concerns that the | | | |
| | | | | | | | project is not ready to | | | |
| | | | | | | | commence. The Panel | | | |
| | | | | | | | noted that footpath | | | |
| | | | | | | | replacement is not within | | | |
| | | | | | | | scope. The Panel does not | | | |
| | | | Reconstruction of Liascos Avenue | | | | support the application but | | | |
| | Campbell | Liascos | Newton. including parking bays | | | | agreed to revisit the | | | |
| SLRP | town City | Avenue | servicing existing business and | | | | application if revised and | Not | | |
| 2022.16 | Council | Newton 5074 | adjacent reserve. | \$736,000 | \$736,000 | \$1,472,000 | resubmitted next year. | supported | | \$0 |



| SLRP 2022.21 | City of Playford | Carmelo Road Upgrade, Buckland Park | Carmelo Road is a collector road, with a posted speed of 80km/h. The road is currently in poor condition and has sub-standard road geometry, i.e. no line marking, no lighting, narrow traffic lane and shoulder. The road requires significant upgrade to cater for current and future growth (i.e. Riverlea development) in this area. The scope includes realignment and widening of the road to the north (in conjunction with major stormwater upgrades on the southern verge to assist in the draining of Gawler River Flood Plain out of scope), road shoulder widening, improve safety and to cater for heavy vehicles. | \$1,633,000 | \$1,633,000 | \$3,264,836 | The Panel questioned whether the project fits within the definition of a special local road, or whether the project is within routine council maintenance. The Panel noted that the traffic count is low for a collector road. The Panel requested further information is provided before a decision can be made. | Pending further information | \$1,633,000 | LGTAP to contact City of Playford, to seek further clarity regarding why the road is considered strategic and 'special' and to clarify whether the work is external to, or within, the scope of routine maintenance. |
|-----------------|------------------------------|---|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|-----------------------------------|-------------|--|
| SLRP 2022.22 | Adelaide Hills Council | Tiers Road Lenswood | The project involves the reconstruction of two sections of Tiers Road between Lenswood and Woodside. | \$330,000 | \$330,000 | \$660,000 | The Panel agreed that the project meets the criteria and aligns with the objectives of the funding program. The Panel noted that the project is ready to proceed. The Panel supports the application. | Supported | \$330,000 | |
| SLRP 2022.23 | City of Charles Sturt | Jetty Street, Grange | Jetty Street Road Reconstruction & Traffic Safety Improvements The scope of works proposed for Jetty Street include: * Road reconstruction from Military Road to Surrey Street * Reconstruction of kerb and gutter on both sides of the road from Military Road to Surrey Street *Realignment of Intersection at Military Road and Jetty Street to slow vehicles speeds and improve road safety with kerb buildouts incorporating raised plateau. * Realigning of Intersections with adjacent streets to slow vehicles speeds and improve road safety with Zebra Crossing between Military Road and Surrey Street. For many years now, the T-Junction of | \$500,000 | \$600,000 | \$1,100,000 | The Panel noted that the project is a local area traffic management issue and does not have strategic benefit. The Panel noted low vehicle numbers. The Panel noted that the project is part of the Council's standard asset management plan. The Panel does not support the application. | Not supported | \$0 | |
| SLRP 2022.26 | City of Marion Council | Quailo Avenue & Barramundi Drive, Hallet Cove | Barramundi Drive and Quailo Avenue has caused issues for public transport bus services traversing this location. The South Australian Public Transit Association (SAPTA) are receiving reports from bus drivers of their vehicles scraping the road surface at this location. Project objective is to reconstruct the road to address gradient issues along the road and improve safety for vehicles especially buses. | \$142,500 | \$142,500 | \$285,000 | The Panel agreed that the project meets the criteria and aligns with the objectives of the funding program. No further discussion / issues were identified. The Panel supports the application. | Supported | \$142,500 | |

Page 9 of 10



| | | • | | | • | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------------|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | The Panel agreed that the | | | | |
| | | | | | | | project is part of standard | | | | |
| | | | | | | | asset management and | | | | |
| | City of | | | | | | does not meet the | | | | |
| | Port | First Ave, | Road renewal including 150mm | | | | objectives of the funding | | | | |
| SLRP | Adelaide | Woodville | asphalt pavement and new kerb and | | | | program. The Panel does | Not | | | |
| 2022.27 | Enfield | Gardens | | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$200,000 | | | | \$0 | |
| 2022.27 | Enneid | Gardens | gutter. | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$200,000 | not support the application. | supported | | ŞU | |
| | | | | | | | The Panel agreed that the | | | | |
| | | | | | | | project meets the criteria | | | | |
| | | | | | | | and aligns with the | | | | |
| | | | | | | | objectives of the funding | | | | |
| | | | | | | | program. The Panel noted | | | | |
| | | | Blacks Road from Grand Junction Road | | | | that the project supports | | | | |
| | | | to Dally Road. Reconstruction involves | | | | several industries which | | | | |
| | | | replacement of kerb and gutter and | | | | supports vehicle access | | | | |
| | | | full reconstruction of granular and | | | | from the wider area. The | | | | |
| | City of | | asphalt pavement. Council propose to | | | | | | | | |
| | City of | | 1 | | | | project is about | | | | |
| | Port | | trial an in-situ stabilisation of | | | | reconstruction, rather than | | | | |
| SLRP | Adelaide | Blacks Road, | pavement using lime and foam | | | | renewal. The Panel supports | | | | |
| 2022.28 | Enfield | Gilles Plains | bitumen. | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | \$300,000 | the application. | Supported | | \$150,000 | |
| | | | | | | | The Panel agreed that the | | | | |
| | | | | | | | project meets the criteria | | | | |
| | | | | | | | and aligns with the | | | | |
| | | | | | | | objectives of the funding | | | | |
| | City of | | | | | | program. No further | | | | |
| | - | | Dood renewal and carbolt door lift of | | | | | | | | |
| 61.00 | Port | | Road renewal and asphalt deep lift of | | | | discussion / issues were | | | | |
| SLRP | Adelaide | | 150mm from Hampstead Road to | | | | identified. The Panel | | | | |
| 2022.29 | Enfield | East Parkway | Jeffcott Ave Lightsview | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$200,000 | supports the application. | Supported | | \$100,000 | |
| | | | To address a combined local area | | | | | | | | |
| | | | traffic management (LATM) & road | | | | | | | | |
| | | The | safety issue at this site, the existing | | | | | | | | |
| | | Staggered T- | geometrical layout at the Staggered T- | | | | | | | | |
| | | Junction | Junction Arrangement at Beovich | | | | | | | | |
| | | Arrangement | Road, Wright Road & Uno Crescent at | | | | | | | | |
| | | at Beovich | Ingle Farm & Valley View will be | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | -1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 | | | | |
| | | Road, Wright | upgraded to a Protected Reverse Right- | | | | The Panel noted that this | | | | |
| | | Road & Uno | Turn Lane Treatment (to be "matched" | | | | project is better aligned to | | | | |
| | The City | Crescent at | back into the existing dedicated | | | | Black Spot funding. The | | | | |
| SLRP | of | Ingle Farm & | painted right-turn lane at the Wright | | | | Panel does not support the | Not | | | |
| 2022.30 | Salisbury | Valley View | Road & Uno Crescent T-Junction). | \$165,000 | \$165,000 | \$330,000 | application. | supported | | \$0 | |
| | | | Jeffcott Street is a significant heritage | | | | | | | | |
| | | | and tree lined boulevard in North | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Adelaide, where multiple assets have | | | | | | | | |
| | | | been identified for renewal and | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | upgrading. The current condition of | | | | | | | | |
| | | | the heritage bluestone kerb and water | | | | | | | | |
| | | | table and the adjacent roadways has | | | | | | | | |
| | | | led to significant nuisance ponding | | | | The Panel questioned | | | | |
| | | | following rainfall events. | | | | whether the project works | | | | |
| | | | | | | | are within the scope of the | | | | |
| | | | The project scope primarily involves | | | | funding program, as the | | | | |
| | | | the renewal of heritage bluestone kerb | | | | application reads that the | | | | |
| | | | and water able and the resurfacing of | | | | works are not on the actual | | | | LGTAP to contact the City of |
| | | | _ | | | | | | | | |
| | | | adjacent car parking bays. New | | | | roadway but are focussed | | | | Adelaide, to discuss the Panel's |
| | | | stormwater infrastructure will also be | | | | on parking, stormwater and | | | | concerns and determine whether |
| | | | installed to ensure surface runoff is | | | | tree restoration. The Panel | | | | the project will have significant |
| | | | efficiently collected and new tree | | | | requested further | | | | benefit to the actual roadway, or |
| | | | surrounds will be installed with the | | | | information from the | Pending | | | whether the work is largely |
| SLRP | City of | Jeffcott | intent of promoting improved | | | | applicant before a decision | further | | | focussed around the parking |
| 2022.32 | Adelaide | Street South | conditions. | \$1,100,000 | \$1,100,000 | \$2,200,000 | can be made. | information | \$1,100,000 | | spaces. |
| - | | | 1 | | . , , - | | | | | | L - C |

A ECM 778357 Agenda Item 2.1 – Minutes of Previous Meeting – Attachment 1



| | | Kinkaid Road, Aylwin Street | | | | | questioned whether community consultation has been undertaken as the application is unclear on this issue. The panel questioned whether the signalised pedestrian crossing component of the project is within scope. The Panel were generally supportive of the project but noted several elements are out of scope of the | | | | LGTAP to contact the City of Playford, to discuss the concerns raised by the Panel members and seek further information / clarity regarding exactly what project elements will be funded by Council |
|-----------------|---------------------|---|---|--------------|----------------------|-------------|--|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------------|---|
| SLRP 2022.36 | City of Playford | to Highfield Drive. Elizabeth East | Kinkaid Road upgrade including a new pedestrian crossing point between the adjacent north-south linear parks and bus stops / existing footpath network. | \$659,000 | \$659,000 | \$1,318,000 | program. The Panel requires further information to identify the elements that are within scope. | Pending further information | \$659,000 | | and what SLRP funds will be used for. This will determine whether certain project elements are within scope or not. |
| 2022.30 | 1.13,15.4 | , 2330 | and stope of existing tootpath network. | \$21,122,000 | 4000 ,000 | ÷1,010,000 | , a. c | | \$8,083,000 | \$11,538,000 | 3392 3. 1131 |

LGA of SA ECM 778357 Agenda Item 2.1 – Minutes of Previous Meeting – Attachment 1

3.1 2022-23 Grant Round Update

Confidential Reports

From: Alyssa Bates, Grants Coordinator – Special Local Roads Program

Meeting: LG Transport Advisory Panel 29 June 2022

ECM: 778363 Attachments: 777737 & 778367

Recommendation

That the LG Transport Advisory Panel:

- 1. Resolves to consider Agenda Item 3.1 2022-23 Grant Round Update in confidence being satisfied that there are reasonable grounds for the information received, discussed or considered in relation to this item to be treated confidentially having considered clause 2.5.3 of the LGA Meeting Procedures and orders all observers at the meeting, with the exception of LGA staff on duty and those Council members specifically invited for set time periods, be excluded from attendance at the meeting;
- 2. Assesses the SLRP 2022 applications and provide recommendations for consideration by the LGA Board; and
- 3. Having considered Agenda Item 3.1 2022-23 Grant Round Update in confidence resolves to retain the report, attachments, resolutions and discussion in confidence until the SLRP 2022 grant round outcome is announced by the Federal Minister on the grounds that this relates to private and confidential information.

Discussion

The LG Transport Advisory Panel reviewed and considered thirty-six applications for funding within the 2022-23 Grant Round at its meeting on 14 June 2022.

The LG Transport Advisory Panel agreed to support twenty-two applications, to a value of \$11,538,000. Four applications were not supported by the Panel.

Ten applications required further information / clarification before a decision could be made, with the Panel agreeing to write to the applicants with their questions and resolving to meet at a later date, out-of-session, to further assess and provide recommendation on these applications.

Following the LG Transport Advisory Panel meeting of 14 June 2022, the following councils were contacted seeking further information / clarification about their application. Responses were due by COB Monday 20 June 2022.

- Yorke Peninsula Council North Coast Road, Point Turton (SLRP 2022.38)
- District Council of Lower Eyre Peninsula Bratten Way, Hundred of Cummins, Mitchell and Kiana (SLRP 2022.34)
- District Council Loxton Waikerie Habel Road (SLRP 2022.13)
- Kingston District Council Cape Jaffa Road, Cape Jaffa (SLRP 2022.03)
- Naracoorte Lucindale Council Boddingtons West Road, Cadgee (SLRP 2022.15)

- Alexandrina Council Nangkita Road Surface Improvement (SLRP 2022.19)
- City of Victor Harbor Three Gullies Road, Encounter Bay (SLRP 2022.25)
- City of Playford Carmelo Road Upgrade, Bucklands Park (SLRP 2022.21)
- City of Adelaide Jeffcott Street South (SLRP 2022.32)
- City of Playford Kinkaid Road, Aylwin Street to Highfield Drive, Elizabeth East (SLRP 2022.36)

Upon review of the responses received, it was determined to invite the following council' to the LG Transport Advisory Panel meeting to enable further discussion:

- 9.45 10.15am: Yorke Peninsula Council (Mr Andre Kompler and Mr Andrew Cameron) and Legatus Group (Mr Simon Millcock)
- 10.15 10.30am: City of Adelaide (Nicholas Morrow)
- 10.30 10.45am: District Council of Lower Eyre Peninsula (Mr Tim Blacker)
- 10.45 11.00am: Kingston District Council (Mr Nick Brown)

Following the discussion with the council representatives, the LG Transport Advisory Panel meeting will return to an in-confidence discussion.

Attachments

- 1. 2022-23 Grant Round applications received and LGTAP discussion summary and recommendations of 14 June 2022
- 2. Response from Mr Andre Kompler, Yorke Peninsula Council (SLRP 2022.38)
- 3. Response from Mr Simon Millcock, Legatus Group (SLRP 2022.38)
- 4. Response from Mr Greg Perry, District Council of Loxton Waikerie (SLRP 2022.13)
- 5. Response from Mr Nick Brown, Kingston District Council (SLRP 2022.03)
- 6. Response from Mr Daniel Willsmore, Naracoorte Lucindale Council (SLRP 2022.15)
- 7. Response from Mr Mark Tate, Alexandrina Council (SLRP 2022.19)
- 8. Response from Ms Jodi Roberts, City of Victor Harbor (SLRP 2022.25)
- 9. Response from Mr Jason Yii, City of Playford (SLRP 2022.21)
- 10. Response from Mr Nicholas Morrow, City of Adelaide (SLRP 2022.32)
- 11. Response from Mr Tim Blacker, District Council of Lower Eyre Peninsula (SLRP 2022.34)
- 12. Response from Mr Jason Yii, City of Playford (SLRP 2022.36)